

WOLVERHAMPTON CCG

Governing Body Meeting 12 July 2016

Title of Report:	Wolverhampton Local Digital Roadmap
Report of:	Stephen Cook
Contact:	Stephen Cook
Action Required:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Assurance
Purpose of Report:	For the Governing Body to Approve the Wolverhampton Local Digital Roadmap
Public or Private:	This report is intended for Public domain
Relevance to CCG Priority:	
Relevance to Board Assurance Framework (BAF):	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Domain 1: A Well Led Organisation 	<p>Domain 1: Well led organisation – impacting on whether the CCG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has strong and robust leadership; • has robust governance arrangements; • involves and engages patients and the public actively; • works in partnership with others, including other CCGs; • secures the range of skills and capabilities it requires to deliver all of its Commissioning functions, using support functions effectively, and getting the best value for money; and • has effective systems in place to ensure compliance with its statutory functions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Domain 2a: Performance – delivery of commitments and 	<p>Domain 2a: Performance: delivery of commitments and improved outcomes: a key focus of assurance will be how well the CCG delivers improved services,</p>



improved outcomes	maintain and improve quality, and ensures better outcomes for patients. This includes progress in delivering key Mandate requirements and NHS Constitution standards, and ensuring standards for all aspects of quality, including safeguarding, and digital record keeping and transfers of care are met.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Domain 2b: Quality (Improved Outcomes) 	Domain 2b: Quality: delivery of commitments and improved outcomes; a key focus of assurance of how well the CCG delivers improved services, maintains and improves quality and ensures better outcomes for patients. This includes progress in delivering key mandated requirements and NHS Constitution standards. Also ensure that the CCG is able to demonstrate the continuous improving quality agenda for all aspects of quality including safeguarding.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Domain 3: Financial Management 	Domain 3: Financial management: financial management capability and performance, including an assessment of data quality and contractual enforcement.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Domain 4: Planning (Long Term and Short Term) 	Domain 4: Planning: covering not only annual operational plans, and related plans such as those relating to System Resilience Groups and the Better Care Fund, but also longer term strategic plans, including progress with the implementation of the Forward View. Progress towards moving secondary care providers from paper-based to digital processes and the extent to which NHS Number and discharge summaries are being transferred digitally across care settings will be specific measures during 2015/16, towards the ambition for a paperless NHS.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Domain 5: Delegated Functions 	Domain 5: Delegated functions: When approved this will include primary care and may, in time, include other services. This is in addition to the assurances needed for out-of-hours Primary Medical Services, given this is a directed rather than delegated function

N.B. Please use Paragraph Numbering in all documents for easier referencing.

1. BACKGROUND AND CURRENT SITUATION

In September 2015, a three-step process began to allow local health and care systems to produce Local Digital Roadmaps (LDRs) by 30 June 2016, setting out how they will achieve the ambition of 'paper-free at the point of care' by 2020.

The first step was the organisation of local commissioners, providers and social care partners into LDR footprints.

The second step was for NHS providers within LDR footprints to complete a Digital Maturity Self-assessment. Both of these steps have now been completed and each LDR footprint has been asked to develop and submit its own Local Digital Roadmap by the deadline of June 2016.

2. MAIN BODY OF REPORT

NHS Wolverhampton CCG is the lead organisation for the Wolverhampton LDR. The other organisations involved are Royal Wolverhampton Trust, Black Country Partnership Foundation Trust, Wolverhampton Council and West Midlands Ambulance Service.

The CCG has been working with our partner organisations to develop the Digital Roadmap based on the Universal Capabilities and the results of the Digital Maturity indexes that were completed by our partner organisations.

Wolverhampton CCG will present the Wolverhampton LDR to the NHS Local Area Team on the 20th July 2016 with representation from our partner organisations for review and sign off. A signed off LDR will be a condition for accessing investment for technology enabled transformation funds.

The Universal Capability Delivery Plan, Wolverhampton Information Sharing approach and the Wolverhampton Capability Trajectory are attached to this report, below overview of the key projects linked to the programme.

- The development of a shared care record across the whole health a social care economy within Wolverhampton
- The rollout of patient online services to Patients so they can access their own records, book appointments and order repeat prescriptions.



- The Continued development of e-referrals and the addition of referring to the mental health trust electronically formatting of electronic discharges along royal college headings and the introduction of electronic discharges from BCPFT.
- The expansion of e-referrals to social care
- The inclusion of Child Protection information within unscheduled care settings
- A project to initially populate Graphnet with Patients end of life preferences which will then look to develop a shared end of life plan that can be accessed by clinicians linked to a patient with read and write access.
- Continued development of the existing EPS project to increase utilization within Wolverhampton.

3. CLINICAL VIEW

- 3.1. Clinical engagement will be sought for each of the projects within the LDR Programme of work.

4. PATIENT AND PUBLIC VIEW

- 4.1. Patient and Public engagement will be undertaken on a project by project basis dependent on the impact, scale and scope of the individual projects.

5. RISKS AND IMPLICATIONS

Key Risks

- 5.1. That the current LDR footprints will be amalgamated to fit the STP footprints.
- 5.2. Information Governance issues will slow down the identified projects or cause them to stop.
- 5.3. If no additional funds are available it will lead to delays as existing resources will be stretched.
- 5.4. The approval process will take a long time leading to delays in starting the programme of work and thus the end date of the projects



Financial and Resource Implications

5.6. The scale and scope of the programme of work will be dependent on the allocation of funds from NHS England. The organisations have committed to carry out a number of projects from existing resources additional projects will be dependent on Central funds becoming available.

Quality and Safety Implications

5.7. There are no quality / safety implications at this present time.

Equality Implications

5.8. The Equality process will be followed via the procurement process.

Medicines Management Implications

5.9. There are no medicines management implications at this present time.

Legal and Policy Implications

5.10. There are no Legal and Policy Implications at this present time.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

- To **Approve** the Wolverhampton Local Digital Roadmap

Name: Stephen Cook

Job Title: Senior IM & T Project Manger

Date: 28/06/16



REPORT SIGN-OFF CHECKLIST

This section must be completed before the report is submitted to the Admin team. If any of these steps are not applicable please indicate, do not leave blank.

	Details/ Name	Date
Clinical View	N/A	
Public/ Patient View	N/A	
Finance Implications discussed with Finance Team	N/A	
Quality Implications discussed with Quality and Risk Team	N/A	
Medicines Management Implications discussed with Medicines Management team	N/A	
Equality Implications discussed with CSU Equality and Inclusion Service	N/A	
Information Governance implications discussed with IG Support Officer	N/A	
Legal/ Policy implications discussed with Corporate Operations Manager	N/A	
Signed off by Report Owner (Must be completed)	Stephen Cook	28/06/16

